

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/788,439	FOREMAN ET AL	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Mathieu D. Vargot	1732	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Allowed

 (1) Mathieu D. Vargot.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Mr. DeLuca (Atty).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 25 September 2005
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_.

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1160 and 1293

Prior art documents discussed:


N/A

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Mr. DeLuca agreed to put limitation of the conveyor which carries the mold assemblies through the first and second curing units into independent claim 1160; claim 1293 was amended to be in conformance with this amendment.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPZ Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)